Supportive Ecosystems for Cooperative Food Economies in Germany

Summary

In this project, researchers from the Humboldt-Professorship for Sustainable Food Economy at the University of Freiburg have explored the process, success factors and sustainability impacts of converting existing independent organic retail businesses to consumer- cooperative business models. This was done through transdisciplinary, intervention-based research in partnership with five organic retail businesses. Each business participated in visioning processes to individually develop membership models for their store as well as assessments of their sustainability and economic performances before and after implementation (where applicable).

While cooperative business models show promise to economically stabilize existing retail businesses, starting-up and operating cooperatives present challenges for which many existing businesses often do not have capacity to overcome. Therefore, supporting ecosystem functions such as consulting, training and financing should be made available to support the success and scaling of cooperative food businesses.

Policy Recommendations

1. *Provide targeted funding streams for cooperative development support (Municipal / Regional).* Establish or expand funding programs that allow food entrepreneurs to contract consultants with expertise in cooperative governance, financing, and business transformation. Many start-up and transitioning enterprises lack access to specialized knowledge required for cooperative incorporation, which creates structural barriers compared to conventional firms. Targeted financial support would lower entry barriers and increase the long-term viability of cooperative food businesses.

2. *Integrate cooperative education into formal and vocational curricula (Municipal / Regional / National).* Expand curricula in schools, universities, and vocational institutions to include cooperative business models, democratic governance, and participatory economics. A lack of familiarity with cooperative structures among entrepreneurs, workers, and consumers limits uptake and effective participation. Embedding cooperative literacy in education systems would normalize these models and strengthen member engagement capacities.

3. *Strengthen institutional capacity for cooperative auditing and administrative support (Municipal / Regional).* Build expertise within municipal administrations and regional auditing associations to better support formally incorporated cooperatives. Current administrative processes are often designed around conventional corporate forms, creating disproportionate burdens for cooperatives. Enhanced advisory services and reduced bureaucratic friction would make cooperative formation and compliance more accessible.

4. *Leverage municipal procurement to incentivize cooperative business models (Municipal).* Adapt procurement policies to recognize and reward cooperative ownership structures in public food purchasing contracts. Stable public demand can significantly improve financial resilience for cooperatives, which often prioritize social and environmental value over rapid capital accumulation. Procurement reform would align public spending with regional sustainability and economic democracy goals.

5. *Support regional networking and marketing initiatives for cooperatives (Municipal / Regional).* Fund and coordinate networking platforms, joint branding strategies, and public awareness campaigns for cooperative food enterprises. Cooperatives often lack the marketing budgets and visibility of investor-owned competitors, limiting their market reach. Strengthening regional networks would foster collaboration, shared learning, and stronger collective market presence.

6. *Invest in applied research and data collection on the cooperative food economy (Regional / National).* Allocate funding for ongoing research partnerships between municipalities, universities, and cooperative practitioners. Evidence gaps regarding economic impact, governance challenges, and scaling strategies hinder informed policymaking. Sustained research would support adaptive policy design and strengthen the cooperative food sector's strategic development.

<u>Research Findings</u>

Research Questions

- How can consumer cooperative business models for independent organic shops be operationalised and implemented?
- What are the success factors for converting existing independent organic shops to consumer cooperative business models?
- Can consumer cooperative business models stabilise independent organic shops economically and promote further sustainability outcomes?

Research Significance

In the context of increasing market concentration and price competition in the food retail sector, independent organic shops face growing economic pressure and declining margins. Cooperative ownership models offer a potential strategy to stabilize these businesses by strengthening customer loyalty, embedding shops more deeply in their communities, and redistributing value locally rather than to external shareholders. This is particularly relevant for small-scale farmers and regional suppliers, environmentally conscious consumers, and municipalities seeking to maintain diverse, resilient, and sustainability-oriented local food systems.

Key Findings with Policy Relevance

Finding 1: Consumer cooperatives can economically stabilise independent organic retailers. The research shows that converting existing organic shops into consumer cooperatives can strengthen economic resilience through member-based financing, increased customer loyalty, and shared responsibility structures. Cooperative ownership can reduce vulnerability to volatile markets by anchoring demand within a committed membership base while reinforcing sustainability values in sourcing and governance. This finding suggests that cooperative conversion can be a viable strategy for preserving independent food retail infrastructure under conditions of retail concentration. However, the impact of cooperative business models is limited in its ability to be a short-term intervention for businesses that are existentially threatened. Cooperative economics can therefore not replace sound business practices.

Finding 2: Successful conversion depends on organisational readiness and supportive networks. The research identifies that conversion processes are most successful when driven by a diverse and complementary internal actor group, supported by external system service providers such as consultants and training organisations, and guided by clear process and organisational management. Continuous community-building and a positive attitude of the existing shop team toward cooperative governance further reinforce long-term stability. These findings underline that cooperative conversion is not only a legal or financial restructuring, but a socially embedded transformation process requiring coordinated internal and external support structures.

Finding 3: Cooperative start-up and operation would benefit more ecosystem-level support to improve broader structures for cooperative food economies. Despite their stabilising potential, cooperative business models are complex to establish and govern, particularly for existing small retailers with limited time, financial reserves, and administrative capacity. Key barriers include legal incorporation processes, governance design, member coordination, and access to specialised advisory services. The research therefore highlights the importance of strengthening support ecosystem functions—such as consulting, training, financing instruments, and peer networks—to enable successful implementation and scaling of cooperative food businesses.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that consumer cooperative business models can economically stabilise independent organic shops while reinforcing sustainability values and regional embeddedness in increasingly concentrated food retail markets. At the same time, cooperative conversion and operation require substantial organisational capacity, supportive ecosystem functions, and coordinated internal and external actors to succeed.

Accordingly, policy action should not only recognise cooperatives as viable instruments for strengthening local food economies, but also actively enable them through targeted funding, education and training programs, administrative capacity building, procurement reform, networking support, and continued research investment. Strengthening these enabling conditions at municipal and regional levels can help preserve independent organic retail infrastructure and advance broader sustainability transformations in the food system.

References

McRae, S., Simons, L., & Wiek, A. (2025). Kooperative Umstellung und betriebliche Optimierung von Bioläden – Bericht zur ersten Phase des Projekts Ko-Opt. Humboldt-Professur für Nachhaltige Ernährungswirtschaft, Institut für Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Universität Freiburg. https://doi.org/10.6094/UNIFR/275995

Sipple, D., Wiek, A., Schank, H. (2024). Nachhaltige Gestaltung von lokalen Ernährungssystemen durch Kommunalpolitik und -verwaltung. Springer VS.

Sipple, D., & Wiek, A. (2023). Kommunale Instrumente für die nachhaltige Ernährungswirtschaft [Municipal Tools for the Sustainable Local Food Economy]. University of Freiburg.

Von Andrian, N., McRae, S., & Wiek, A. (2025). Bericht zur Pilotierung von KoWerk – Lernwerkstatt für kooperatives Wirtschaften in der Ernährungswirtschaft. Humboldt-Professur für Nachhaltige Ernährungswirtschaft, Institut für Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Universität Freiburg.

Wiek, A. & Hartland, K. (2022). Evaluation of the Sustainable Cooperative Food Business Incubation and Workforce Training Program. Sustainable Food Economy Lab. School of Sustainability, Arizona State University. Tempe AZ, USA.

Contact
Sophia McRae sophia.mcrae@vwl.uni-freiburg.de